

Growth and Structural Changes in the Manufacturing Industries of Bangladesh—An Overview

A. K. Monaw-War-Uddin Ahmad*

In this a paper a few issues a related to factor intensity are investigated to highlight the overall growth and structural changes in the manufacturing industries of Bangladesh in the post-independence period. The general pattern of growth and change in the industrial economy has been described. Thus the changes in macro-economic variables like employment output, value-added, labour, capital etc. in the manufacturing industries of Bangladesh have been analysed. The definitions of the variables used in the analysis are listed in an appendix to this paper.

Section 1 : Introduction

The development pattern of the manufacturing industries of underdeveloped countries has been analysed by a number of scholars. The most celebrated piece of work has been done by Chenery.¹ The growth pattern is explained by three contributory factors, i. e.

* Department of Economics, Dhaka University

domestic demand, export expansion and import substitution Economists have applied Chenery's general framework of analysis to developing economies to identify the major causes of growth in the manufacturing sectors.

In the context of India and Pakistan, Ahmad², Lewis and Soligo³, Lewis⁴, and Guisinger⁵ have examined this problem at length. At a disaggregated level Lewis and Soligo⁶ have identified the main causes of growth in the three broad categories of industries, i. e. consumer, intermediate and investment, and investment related goods. While this type of analysis is useful for a number of reasons, there are enormous statistical problems of measurement of import substitution, export expansion, and domestic demand. An attempt has been made to examine selected macro variables that have more direct bearing on factor intensity.

There is an additional reason why this approach has been adopted. By building up a picture from an inter-industry examination of the macro-economic variables focus can be made more directly on the question of factor intensity, factor substitution and even technical progress in the manufacturing industries. Such an approach has been adopted before by other writers. However, the most impressive pieces of work in this area have been done by Salter⁷ and Katz⁸. In many ways this type of analysis supplements the findings of the production function approach.

Section 2 : Analysis of Selected Macro-variables

One of the basic indicators of the performance of an economy is the gross value of output in the

industrial economy over time. In the period under review the index of the gross value of industrial output rose from 100 in 1973/74 to 151 in 1983/84. This seems to suggest the annual growth rate of the gross value of output of the manufacturing industries has been around 4 per cent per annum. This is obviously a very low rate of growth in comparison with what has been experienced by many other countries.⁹

However, this conceals a great deal of diversity in the growth and performance of the different manufacturing industries of Bangladesh. The completely different sort of developments of the petroleum industry and the textiles should be interpreted carefully. The index of the gross value of production in the petroleum sector rose from 100 in 1973-74 to 4714 in 1983-84. This is mainly because the petroleum sector had very narrow base in the beginning of the period under consideration. On the other hand the index of production in the textiles sector increased from 100 in 1973/74 to 112 in 1983/84. It is quite evident that this sector has been a relatively stagnant one. It must also be taken into consideration that the textiles sector includes the most important industry of Bangladesh-jute.

Table 1

Index of Gross value of output of Manufacture by
Major Industrial Groups (Base: 1973/74=100)

Sector	1974/75	1975/76	1976/77	1977/78	1978/79
1. Food manu- facturing	145	161	184	211	217

2. Beverage	94	88	96	123	151
3. Tobacco	98	107	118	113	125
4. Textiles	85	91	88	98	107
5. Footwear	176	152	172	78	76
6. Furniture	137	178	112	219	231
7. Paper	125	138	107	135	154
8. Printing	115	130	216	194	175
9. Leather	138	366	253	288	317
10. Chemical	85	127	113	130	154
11. Petroleum	3 955	3 996	7 555	5 755	7 549
12. Non-metallic	178	157	266	300	323
13. Basic metal	85	144	195	131	201
14. Metal products	147	126	267	125	141
15. Machinery except electrical	163	193	313	258	315
16. Electrical machinery	129	285	715	735	872
17. Transport equipment	664	471	656	767	562
18. Other manufacturing	112	75	107	73	56
19. Total manufacturing	103	123	136	137	154

	1979/80	1980/81	1981/82	1982/83	1983/84
1. Food manu- facturing	194	228	271	275	233
2. Beverage	138	159	148	168	283
3. Tobacco	129	142	146	135	141
4. Textiles	107	102	99	120	112

Growth and Structural Changes

241

5. Footwear	19	109	213	63	167
6. Furniture	236	608	569	220	237
7. Paper	164	157	167	126	143
8. Printing	175	214	204	181	187
9. Leather	317	282	314	133	138
10. Chemical	174	217	236	185	251
11. Petroleum	6 970	5 116	4 786	3 343	4 714
12. Non-metallic	392	382	326	340	312
13. Basic metal	183	201	227	64	68
14. Metal products	161	152	262	102	103
15. Machinery except electrical	420	971	822	7 716	2 848
16. Electrical machinery	968	977	1 014	845	1 006
17. Transport equipment	986	1 380	992	352	632
18. Other manufacturing	83	104	133	194	141
19. Total manufacturing	156	162	170	160	151

Source : Calculated from the data reported in Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh* various issues.

Thus the performance of the most significant industries of this country has been very disappointing in the recent period. The apparent rapid expansion in the gross value of output of the two machinery sectors, i. e. the non-electrical machinery and the electrical machinery can also be explained, like that of the petroleum industry, in terms of their narrow bases at the beginn-

ing of the period. There have been relatively higher rates of growth in sectors like food manufacturing, beverage, furniture, printing, chemicals, non-metallic products and transport equipment. It can be seen from Table 1 that the index of the gross value of output rose from 100 in 1973-74 to 233 in the case of food manufacturing, 283 in the case of beverage, 237 in the case of furniture, 187 in the case of printing, 251 in the case of chemicals, 312 in the case of non-metallic products, and 632 in the case of transport equipment in the year 1983/84. Later, sectoral growth rates of output will be compared with those of employment.

Many analysts have considered value-added as a better indicator of the performance of a sector. In Table 2 data on the indices of value-added of the different sectors of the industrial economy of Bangladesh are presented. The overall growth rate of the value-added of the manufacturing sectors is, like that of the gross value of output, not encouraging at all. The index rose from 100 in 1973/74 to 112 in 1983/84. Thus for the manufacturing industries as a whole the value added has been almost stagnant. There are a few interesting things noteworthy of discussion. While value-added of the petroleum sector increased in the beginning of the period, subsequently it declined by a substantial amount. Thus the index fell from 100 in 1973/74 to 75 in 1983/84. This result is in sharp contrast with what happened to the value of gross output in this sector.¹⁰

The trend in the value-added of the textiles sector is roughly the same as that in the value of gross output of this sector. In fact the stagnation in the value-added

Table 2

Index of value added of manufacturing by major industrial groups (Base : 1973/74 100)

Sector	1974/75	1975/76	1976/77	1977/78	1978/79
1. Food manufacturing	132	125	140	164	163
2. Beverage	98	94	96	129	151
3. Tobacco	92	88	99	99	102
4. Textiles	74	69	56	84	95
5. Footwear	218	271	126	56	81
6. Furniture	122	142	92	282	226
7. Paper	178	107	218	260	289
8. Printing	119	110	208	165	161
9. Leather	160	331	140	256	406
10. Chemical	65	73	90	101	130
11. Petroleum	126	494	663	122	121
12. Non-metallic	155	105	174	769	245
13. Basic metal	47	84	152	56	158
14. Metal products	141	111	413	96	84
15. Machinery except electrical	145	146	243	218	243
16. Electrical machinery	142	283	805	664	757
17. Transport equipment	419	290	912	854	759

18. Other manufacturing	82	73	132	65	41
19. Total manufacturing	80	78	97	97	117

	1979/80	1980/81	1981/82	1982/83	1983/84
1. Food manufacturing	146	201	204	206	175
2. Beverage	130	152	137	169	287
3. Tobacco	105	117	116	11	115
4. Textiles	95	105	92	104	101
5. Footwear	81	107	196	147	145
6. Furniture	386	4703	531	232	230
7. Paper	322	226	235	236	270
8. Printing	177	198	191	164	168
9. Leather	385	417	314	143	148
10. Chemical	169	183	197	156	212
11. Petroleum	717	83	95	76	75
12. Non-metallics	283	267	219	259	237
13. Basic metal	72	90	117	50	53
14. Metal products	109	113	206	61	63
15. Machinery except electrical	313	751	657	5934	2190
16. Electrical machinery	741	826	854	735	878

Growth and Structural Changes

245

17. Transport equipment	577	1011	471	476	850
18. Other manufacturing	93	113	128	199	142
19. Total manufacturing	117	112	112	116	112

Source : Calculated from the data reported in Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh* various issues.

of the textile sector is more pronounced. The index was falling in the beginning of the period but subsequently it rose by a small margin. Thus the index rose from 100 in 1973/74 to 101 in 1983/84. The phenomenal growth in the sectors like non-electrical machinery, electrical machinery and transport equipment can be explained in terms of their narrow bases in the beginning of the period. Sectors like food manufacturing, beverages, furniture, paper, chemical, and non-metallic products, showed relatively higher growth rates in the value-added. It can be seen from Table 2 that the index rose from 100 in 1973/74 to 157 in the case of food manufacturing, 287 in the case of beverages, 230 in the case of furniture, 570 in the case of paper, 212 in the case of chemical and 237 in the case of non-metallic products in the year 1983/84.

It was mentioned earlier that it would be interesting to compare the growth rates of the gross value of output and value-added with those of employment. Table 3 presents data on employment indices of the different industrial sectors of the economy. At

the aggregate level it can be seen that the growth rate of employment has been less than that of gross output. The index rose from 100 in 1973/74 to 140 in 1982/83. Thus on average annual employment growth rate has been around 3 per cent only. This poor rate of growth of employment is far less than what is required to absorb the current growing rate of unemployment and underemployment in the economy of Bangladesh.¹¹

This aggregate picture conceals many important sectoral differences within the industrial economy of this country. Once again if textile and petroleum sectors are considered, the highest employment growth is in the petroleum sector and the lowest in the textile sector. The obvious reason for high employment growth rate in the petroleum sector has been its initial narrow base. The index of employment in the textile sector rose from 100 in 1973/74 to 124 in 1982/83. This sector includes the largest industry of that country, i. e. the jute industry. It may be noted here that the rates of growth of employment in most of the industries have been lagging behind the rates of growth of gross value of output. The relatively higher rates of growth of employment in sectors like metal products, non-electrical machinery, electrical machinery and transport equipment, can also be explained in terms of their lower bases in the beginning of the period. Generally speaking the relatively lower rate of growth of employment in comparison with that of the value of gross output has been experienced by many countries under import substitution regime.

Table 3

Indices of Average Daily Employment (all employees)
by major Industrial groups (number) (Base : 173/74 100)

Sector	1974/75	1975/76	1976/77	1977/78	1978/79
1. Food manu facturing	132	167	171	151	133
2. Beverage	97	71	103	119	120
3. Tobacco	116	124	106	108	113
4. Textiles	98	91	89	105	109
5. Footwear	187	150	140	58	55
6. Furniture	195	259	137	248	223
7. Paper	130	182	149	130	129
8. Printing	138	144	200	185	186
9. Leather	143	199	143	123	103
10. Chemical	125	120	123	125	128
11. Petroleum	4710	4620	4560	4930	4520
12. Non- metallic	154	107	114	144	149
13. Basic metal	130	183	128	130	131
14. Metal products	168	154	173	174	197
15. Machinery excep electrical	144	161	169	174	168
16. Electrical moachinery	206	314	487	514	634
17. Transport equipment	423	814	333	297	272
18. Other manu- facturing	180	210	345	191	200
19. Total	110	111	107	117	119

	1979/80	198 /81	1981/82	9182/83
1. Foot manu- facturing	14 1	150	152	153
2. Beverage	108	95	117	144
3. Tobacco	121	128	131	133
4. Textiles	113	117	120	124
5. Footwear	48	42	177	184
6. Furniture	206	236	228	281
7. Paper	147	151	150	149
8. Printing	186	205	243	289
9. Leather	130	125	132	138
10. Chemical	132	142	148	154
11. Petroleum	4890	5210	5520	5850
12. Non-metallic	136	147	152	156
13. Basic metal	133	145	144	143
14. Metal product	198	205	238	269
15. Machinery except electrical	160	271	358	373
16. Electrical machinery	790	836	845	853
17. Transport equipment	325	347	326	306
18. Other manufac- turing	220	226	238	204
19. Total manu- facturing	122	130	135	140

Source : Calculated from the data reported in Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh* various issues.

In a study for the period of fifties and sixties, Islam¹³ has examined the employment potential of the manufacturing industries of Bangladesh. It has been found that between 1949/50 and 1969/70 growth rate of employment was half of that of the output in large scale manufacturing sector. Thus the large-scale manufacturing sector had not been able to generate sufficient employment to absorb the increase in the labour force of the country. Analysis of data reveals that between 1955 and 1965/66 the growth of employment lagged behind that of output in all the sectors of the industrial economy of Bangladesh. In the case of many industries the rate of growth of employment was substantially less than half the rate of growth of output. In sectors like transport equipment and miscellaneous industries, employment even declined when output increased substantially. A further analysis for the period 1965/66 to 1968/69 shows broadly similar results. The only exception to this was wood and cork, and coal and petroleum products where employment grew more rapidly than output. It is clear that an employment lag is noticeable not only in the relatively more sophisticated industries but also in the traditional industries like food processing, textiles, leather etc.

In another study, Chowdhury¹⁴ has examined the data of 1954 to 1965 to analyse the growth rates of value-added, capital and labour of the manufacturing industries of Bangladesh. The two main statistical findings are: (a) in the period under review output has been growing at a rate faster than that of capital and labour, and (b) capital has been growing at a faster

rate than that of labour.

It is argued by this author that the expansion of output in the period 1954 to 1965 can be explained by economies of scale and/or the combined effects of the two factors of production. By fitting the Cobb-Douglas production function it has been shown that in the manufacturing industries of Bangladesh there has been capital bias technological progress. The relatively slower growth rate in employment in the period 1954 to 1965 has been explained mainly in terms of bias in capital-intensity and under-utilisation of capacity within the manufacturing industries. These two studies in a broad sense also confirm the findings of the present study.

One important way of evaluating the efficiency of industrialisation is to see what has happened to the productivity of labour. In Table 4 data on average labour productivity of the different manufacturing industries are presented. It can be seen from this table that at the aggregate level the index of productivity rose from 100 in 1973/74 to 144 in 1982/83. This indicates that labour productivity of the industrial sector of Bangladesh has remained roughly the same in this period. This aggregate figure however conceals the important fact that there are large intersectoral differences in labour productivity within the industrial economy. Table 4 shows that the productivity index in the textile industry declined from 100 in 1973/74 to 97 in 1982/83. This is very disappointing because textile is the most important industrial sector of the Bangladesh economy. There are also other sectors like

Table 4
Indices of output per head by Major Industrial Groups
(Base : 1973/74=100)

Sector	1974/75	1975/76	1976/77	1977/78	1978/79
1. Food manu- facturing	110	96	107	140	164
2. Beverage	97	123	94	103	125
3. Tobacco	85	86	112	104	111
4. Textiles	86	100	98	93	98
5. Footwear	95	101	123	135	139
6. Furniture	70	69	82	89	104
7. Paper	96	76	72	104	120
8. Printing	83	90	108	104	94
9. Leather	95	184	177	234	307
10. Chemical	68	106	92	104	120
11. Petroleum	84	86	166	117	167
12. Non-metallic	116	147	233	209	217
13. Basic metal	66	78	152	100	154
14. Metal products	87	82	155	72	72
15. Machinery except electrical	113	120	185	176	187
16. Electrical machinery	63	91	147	143	138
17. Transport equipment	157	58	197	258	206
18. Other manu- facturing	62	36	31	38	28
19. Total manu- facturing	94	111	127	177	115

	1979/80	1980/81	1981/82	1982/83
1. Food	137	152	179	179
manufacturing				
2. Beverage	128	167	127	117
3. Tobacco	107	111	112	102
4. Textiles	95	87	82	97
5. Footwear	38	260	121	134
6. Furniture	114	258	249	78
7. Paper	112	104	111	84
8. Printing	94	104	84	63
9. Leather	244	225	238	96
10. Chemical	132	153	160	120
11. Petroleum	143	98	87	57
12. Non-metallic	287	260	215	218
13. Basic metal	137	138	158	44
14. Metal	81	74	110	38
products				
15. Machinery	263	361	229	269
except				
electrical				
16. Electrical	123	117	120	99
machinery				
17. Transport	303	397	304	115
equipment				
18. Other manu-	38	46	57	95
facturing				
19. Total manu-	128	125	126	114
facturing				

Source : Calculated from the data reported in the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh*, various issues.

furniture, paper, printing, petroleum, basic metal, and metal products in which there was a substantial decline in labour productivity.

However, in food manufacturing, footwear, chemicals, non-metallic products and non-electrical machinery, there was, relatively speaking, some improvement in labour productivity. There is an additional piece of evidence on labour productivity of some of the selected industries. These data are reported in Tables 5 and 6. It can be seen from Table 5 that in the period 1969/70 to 1984/85 productivity in four industries, i. e. jute, cotton, paper and steel, declined substantially. The index of jute industry fell from 100 in 1969/70 to 43 in 1984/85. The decline of labour productivity of the cotton and paper industries was greater than that of the jute industry. The indices fell to 36 and 23 in cases of cotton and paper industries respectively. In the case of the steel industry, labour productivity fell from 100 in 1969/70 to 85 in 1984/85.

Additional evidence on labour productivity of cement, fertilizer, petroleum products and paints and varnishes, is available. It can be seen from Table 6 that the productivity index for the cement industry declined from 100 in 1976/77 to 50 in 1984/85. The index for the petroleum products fell from 100 in 1976/77 to 68 in 1984/85. However, there was some improvement in labour productivity of fertilizer and paints and varnishes industries. Thus the productivity index of the fertilizer industry rose from 100 in 1976/77 to 154 in 1984/85. The index for the paints and varnishes industry rose from 100 in 1976/77 to 228 in

Table 5
Productivity Indices of Industrial Labour (all employees)
in Selected Industries at Constant Prices (Base :
1969/70=100)

Year	Jute	Cotton	Paper	Steel
1969-70	100.00	100.00	100.00	
1970-72	63.40	67.90	93.40	...
1981-72	54.50	34.30	52.40	...
1972-73	96.20	71.50	52.10	...
1973-74	51.60	34.50	59.80	38.30
1974-75	52.10	33.40	26.40	75.70
1975-76	51.40	29.90	18.60	68.90
1976-77	51.90	28.80	18.60	82.20
1977-78	54.50	31.40	21.58	83.02
1978-79	47.75	31.40	22.13	98.64
1979-80	48.79	28.51	22.32	90.42
1980-81	47.75	31.10	21.20	90.17
1981-82	45.67	35.24	24.74	80.56
1982-83	46.71	36.29	18.04	32.06
1983-84	45.15	37.73	20.09	73.16
1984-85	43.10	35.71	23.85	84.67

Source : Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh*, 1981 and 1984-85.

1984/85. It is thus evident that the available data suggest that in the majority of industries there was a decline in labour productivity in Bangladesh.

One of the important aspects of industrial efficiency is the trend in the unit industrial cost of the various industries of the country. Such data for the industrial economy of Bangladesh are presented in table 7. It can

Table 6
Productivity Indices of Industrial Labour (all employees)
in Selected Industries at Constant Prices (Base
1975-77=100)

Year	Cement	Fertilizer	Petroleum Products	Paints and Varnishes
1976-77	100	100	100	100
1977-78	83	70	88	157
1978-79	75	90	112	173
1979-80	71	105	107	226
1980-81	59	102	102	223
1981-82	65	101	91	218
1982-83	69	115	61	182
1983-84	55	139	66	210
1984-85	50	154	68	228

Source : Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh, 1984-85*.

be seen that at an aggregate level the index of unit industrial cost declined from 100 in 1973/74 to 86 in 1981/82. This seems to suggest that 'there was some improvement of efficiency level in the use of intermediate input in the manufacturing industries. Available data indicate that this is a general trend in all the industrial sectors except petroleum. In the case of petroleum sector the index rose from 100 in 1973/74 to 361 in 1981/82. However, as mentioned earlier, a definite view about the productivity and the efficiency of the petroleum sector cannot be formed from an analysis of such limited data.

In many economic analyses of industrial develop-

Table 7

Indices of unit industrial cost by major industrial group
(Base : 1973/74=100)

Sector	1974/75	1975/76	1976/77	1977/78	1978/79
1. Food manu- facturing	43	59	69	73	75
2. Beverage	34	38	51	44	54
3. Tobacco	50	88	87	80	94
4. Textiles	54	61	69	85	82
5. Footwear	32	49	60	74	80
6. Furniture	41	50	53	38	50
7. Paper	48	70	64	67	72
8. Printing	43	48	45	61	81
9. Leather	39	49	70	74	101
10. Chemical	66	92	88	91	102
11. Petroleum	100	151	108	140	115
12. Non- metallie	41	77	87	87	92
13. Basic metal	87	110	72	100	89
14. Metal products	42	52	36	90	108
15. Machinery except electrical	45	57	56	54	63
16. Electrical machinery	49	56	51	67	70
17. Transport equipment	51	84	49	62	54
18. Other ma- nufacturing	41	54	69	102	123
19. Total manu- facturing	59	77	77	91	93

	1979/80	1980/81	1981/82
1. Food manu- facturing	60	51	57
2. Beverage	45	49	65
3. Tobacco	70	57	72
4. Textiles	56	56	65
5. Footwear	259	46	58
6. Furniture	30	17	20
7. Paper	57	82	90
8. Printing	60	62	81
9. Leather	62	51	55
10. Chemical	83	64	66
11. Petroleum	166	268	361
12. Non-metallic	67	68	88
13. Basic metal	94	83	90
14. Metal products	80	79	58
15. Machinery ex- cept electrical	39	36	50
16. Electrical machinery	51	54	56
17. Transport equipment	68	48	63
18. Other manu- facturing	76	55	54
19. Total manufac- turing	77	75	86

Source : Calculated from the data reported in Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh* various issues.

ment considerable importance is attached to the concept of value-added per employee. The significance of value added per employee and its different components to measure factor intensity is well-known.¹⁵ The indices of value-added and wage value added per employee are presented in Table 8. and 9. From Table 8 it can be seen that at an aggregate level the index of the value-added per employee declined from 100 in 1973/74 to 83 in 1982/83. One likely interpretation of this is that the productivity of the primary factors of production in Bangladesh manufacturing industries declined. An alternative interpretation is that the skill intensity and/or the capital intensity of the industrial economy of the country declined over time. In addition to this result, it can be seen from Table 9 that the wage value-added per employee also declined from 100 in 1973/74 to 94 in 1982/83. This seems to suggest that at an aggregate level the skill intensity of manufacturing industries of Bangladesh declined over time. This is in conformity with the results of Table 8.

It would now be interesting to present the detailed results on value-added and wage-value added per employee in the various manufacturing industries. In many cases, including textiles, the value-added per employee as well as the wage value-added per employee declined. Thus in the case of textiles the index fell from 100 in 1973/74 to 84 in 1982/83, whereas in the case of petroleum industry the decline was quite substantial. The index of value added per employee fell from 100 in 1973/74 to 1 in 1982/83. Only in cases of food manufacturing, paper, non-metallic products, non-elec-

Table 8
Indices of value Added per employee by Major
Industrial Groups (Base : 1973/74=100)

Sector	1974/75	1975/76	1976/77	1977/78	1978/79
1. Food manu- facturing	99	75	81	109	123
2. Beverage	102	132	93	108	126
3. Tobacco	80	71	94	91	90
4. Textiles	75	75	63	79	87
5. Footwear	117	181	90	96	149
6. Furniture	63	55	67	114	101
7. Paper	137	59	147	200	224
8. Printing	86	76	104	89	86
9. Leather	112	167	98	208	394
10. Chemical	52	61	73	81	102
11. Petroleum	3	11	15	2	3
12. Non-metallic	100	99	152	534	164
13. Basic metal	36	26	119	43	121
14. Metal products	84	73	239	55	42
15. Machinery except electrical	101	91	144	149	144
16. Electrical machinery	69	90	165	129	119
17. Transport equipment	99	36	274	287	279
18. Other manu- facturing	46	35	38	34	21
19. Total manu- facturing	73	70	90	83	98

	1979/80	1980/81	1981/82	1982/83
1. Food manu- facturing	103	134	135	134
2. Beverage	129	160	117	118
3. Tobacco	87	91	89	8
4. Textiles	84	90	76	84
5. Footwear	169	255	111	80
6. Furniture	187	193	232	82
7. Paper	219	150	157	158
8. Printing	95	97	79	57
9. Leather	296	333	238	103
10. Chemical	127	128	133	101
11. Petroleum	15	2	2	1
12. Non-metallics	208	181	145	165
13. Basic metal	54	62	81	35
14. Metal products	55	55	87	23
15. Machinery except electricity	196	277	183	159
16. Electrical machinery	94	99	101	86
17. Transport equipment	177	291	145	156
18. Other manufacturing	42	50	55	97
19. Total manu- facturing	96	86	83	83

Source : Calculated from the data reported in Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh* various issues.

Table 9
Indices of wage value-added per Employee (Average
earnings of labour) by major Industrial groups
(Base : 1973/74 = 100)

Sector	1974/75	1975/76	1976/77	1977/78	1978/79
1. Food manu- facturing	100	96	91	91	116
2. Beverage	100	90	95	86	111
3. Tobacco	106	110	101	76	83
4. Textiles	95	101	102	83	82
5. Footwear	93	103	100	100	106
6. Furniture	106	100	109	103	117
7. Paper	101	95	95	90	127
8. Printing	97	85	121	110	121
9. Leather	101	101	102	89	103
10. Chemical	90	101	104	104	113
11. Petroleum	100	56	87	91	95
12. Non-metallic	73	91	97	82	96
13. Basic metal	103	100	100	85	111
14. Metal products	105	95	98	87	93
15. Machinery except electrical	94	103	95	97	100
16. Electrical machinery	95	92	96	96	93
17. Transport equipment	70	73	68	69	74
18. Other manu- facturing	94	99	94	91	95
19. Total manu- facturing	96	101	100	87	91

	1979/80	1980/81	1981/82
1. Food manufac- turing	110	108	118
2. Beverage	86	106	90
3. Tobacco	98	90	96
4. Textiles	96	95	81
5. Footwear	115	33	72
6. Furniture	106	135	126
7. Paper	97	113	95
8. Printing	128	146	118
9. Leather	104	110	105
10. Chemical	95	94	93
11. Petroleum	98	99	106
12. Non-metallic	98	83	79
13. Basic metal	102	126	100
14. Metal products	91	92	92
15. Machinery excets electrical	119	104	80
16. Electrical machninery	96	91	89
17. Transport equipment	88	88	71
18. Other manuf- acturing	90	85	57
19. Total manufac- turing	100	98	94

Source : Calculated from the data reported in Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh* various issues.

trical machinery and transport equipment was there a rise in the value-added per employee. However, in most of the manufacturing industries except food, furniture and printing, there was a decline in wage value-added per employee.

This finding can be compared with the indices of the real wage rates of the different sectors. These data are reported in Table 10. At an aggregate level the index rose from 100 in 1973/74 to 132 in 1982/83. In most of the manufacturing industries there was an increase in the real wage rate. The only exceptions to this were furniture and metal products.

In a study on (then) nationalised industries, Chowdhury¹⁶ found that real wages in the jute and textiles industries declined by more than half in the period 1971/72 to 1974/75. In matches, engineering and edible oil industries, there was a decline in the real wage rate by roughly the same order of magnitude.

These results might appear to contradict the findings of this study. But a closer examination of the data will reveal that in fact this is not so. Thus if the data of Table 10 is carefully looked into, it will be found that in most of the manufacturing industries the real wage rates declined in the period 1973/74 to 1976/77. It is apparent that the results of the two studies are quite consistent. The contradictory nature of the findings of Tables 9 and 10 may also be resolved by a careful scrutiny of the data. While in estimating the value-added per employee the nominal value-added is deflated by the sectoral price indices, in the case of real wage rates the sectoral wage rates are deflated by an

Table 10

Indices of real wage rate of all employees of the manufacturing sector by major industrial groups

(Base : 1973/74 = 100)

Sector	1974/75	1975/76	1976/77	1977/78	1978/79
1. Food manufacturing	69	96	107	140	140
2. Beverage	68	97	113	110	105
3. Tobacco	60	71	103	121	126
4. Textiles	72	87	91	113	145
5. Footwear	68	81	95	125	120
6. Furniture	52	88	79	110	107
7. Paper	68	92	100	122	116
8. Printing	59	78	90	100	99
9. Leather	68	90	106	110	108
10. Chemical	65	85	97	103	111
11. Petroleum	68	99	120	113	128
12. Non-metallic	74	84	104	121	121
13. Basic metal	58	106	120	119	130
14. Metal products	59	84	92	91	89
15. Machinery except electrical	66	87	97	101	103
16. Electrical machinery	64	94	117	142	142
17. Transport equipment	112	120	140	152	166
18. Other manufacturing	69	85	78	128	119
19. Total manufacturing	70	88	95	115	135

	1979/80	1980/81	1981/82	1982/83
1. Food manufacturing	131	133	127	138
2. Beverage	110	111	117	121
3. Tobacco	120	123	125	181
4. Textiles	131	136	122	124
5. Footwear	126	132	115	119
6. Furniture	116	120	106	96
7. Paper	134	138	150	178
8. Printing	96	100	106	129
9. Leather	103	106	106	131
10. Chemical	134	130	129	133
11. Petroleum	122	123	136	141
12. Non-metallic	137	137	134	121
13. Basic metal	123	130	129	230
14. Metals products	91	93	86	93
15. Machinery except electrical	114	117	119	116
16. Electrical machinery	128	130	132	126
17. Transport equipment	170	172	188	184
18. Other manufacturing	137	143	193	183
19. Total manufacturing	127	132	124	132

Source : Calculated from the data reported in the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh*, 1981 and 1984/85

average cost of living index of the industrial economy as a whole.¹⁷ These two methods of deflating the nominal series into the real series would make substantial differences. There is no logical reason why these two sets of data should reveal the same trend. However, on the basis of data on the real wage rates it would appear that there might have been some substitution against labour employment in the manufacturing industries of Bangladesh.

In this context it would be interesting to see how unit labour costs of the different manufacturing industries behaved over time. The relevant data are presented in Table 11 where it can be seen that the index of the unit labour costs for the manufacturing industries as a whole declined from 100 in 1973/74 to 75 in 1981/82. It was noted earlier that the real wages of labour in the industrial economy increased in this period. Therefore, this decline in unit labour costs may be interpreted as a rise in labour productivity in the manufacturing industries. However, it was seen before that at an aggregate level there was very little improvement in labour productivity of the manufacturing industries as a whole.¹⁸ But like all other aggregate indices, this index also hides important sectoral differences within the manufacturing industries. Although the general trend in most of the industries is a decline in unit labour costs, there are also industries where this index registered an upward trend. In textiles, printing and petroleum product industries the index rose from 100 in 1973/74 to 111, 141 and 123 respectively in 1981/82. The largest fall in unit labour costs was in furniture, leather,

chemical, non-metallic, basic metal products, non-electrical machinery and transport equipment.

It is now appropriate to examine the data on non-wage value-added per employee of the various industrial sectors of Bangladesh. Such data are reported in Table 12. At an aggregate level the index of non-wage value-added per employee declined from 100 in 1973/74 to 77 in 1981/82. This may indicate that the capital intensity of the manufacturing sector declined in the period under review. This should, however, be interpreted with great caution. First, there are some limitations of non-wage value-added per employee as a measure of capital intensity.¹⁹ Second, the price indices used to deflate value-added of the manufacturing industries as a whole and the different industrial sectors of Bangladesh economy may not be appropriate.

However, in the absence of detailed information on the methods of construction of the price indices nothing can be said definitely. As it was seen before, it should also be noted here that there are large inter-sectoral differences in regard to the behaviour of non-wage value added per employee. What is most interesting to observe is that the index of non-wage value-added per employee of the textile sector declined from 100 in 1973/74 to 50 in 1981/82. This is a very important result from the employment point of view. The underlying reason is fairly obvious. The textile sector, after all, includes the largest industry of Bangladesh, i. e. jute industry. In tobacco, printing, basic metal, metal products and other manufacturing industries, the non-wage value-added per employee also declined.

Table 11

Indices of unit labour costs by major industrial groups
(Base : 1973/74 100)

Sector	1974/75	1975/76	1976/77	1977/78	1978/79
1. Food manu- facturing	91	99	85	65	71
2. Beverage	103	73	101	83	88
3. Tobacco	125	127	91	73	75
4. Textiles	110	101	103	89	84
5. Footwear	98	102	81	74	76
6. Furniture	151	145	134	116	113
7. Paper	105	125	132	87	106
8. Printing	116	94	112	105	129
9. Leather	107	55	58	38	34
10. Chemical	133	96	113	100	94
11. Petroleum	119	65	52	78	57
12. Non-metallic	63	62	42	39	44
13. Basic metal	157	124	66	85	72
14. Metal products	120	117	63	120	130
15. Machi- nery except electrical	83	86	51	55	53
16. Electrical machinery	152	102	65	67	68
17. Transport equipment	45	127	35	27	36
18. Other manufacturing	150	276	304	241	240
19. Total manufacturing	102	91	79	74	70

	1979/80	198. /81	1981/82
1. Food manu- facturing	80	71	66
2. Beverage	67	63	71
3. Tobacco	92	81	86
4. Textiles	101	109	111
5. Footwear	299	13	60
6. Furniture	93	53	51
7. Paper	87	108	85
8. Printing	136	140	141
9. Leather	42	49	44
10. Chemical	72	62	58
11. Petroleum	69	101	123
12. Non-metallic	33	32	35
13. Basic metal	74	92	63
14. Metal products	112	124	84
15. Machinery except electrical	45	29	35
16. Electrical machinery	78	78	74
17. Transport equipment	29	22	23
18. Other manufacturing	238	186	100
19. Total manu- facturin	78	79	75

Source : Calculated from the data reported in Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh*, various issues.

Table 12

Indices of non-wage value added per employee by
Major Industrial Groups (Base: 1973/74=100)

Sector	1974/75	1975/76	1976/77	1977/78	1978/79
1. Food manu- facturing	99	69	79	113	125
2. Beverage	102	137	93	111	128
3. Tobacco	79	70	94	92	91
4. Textiles	43	32 (-)	2	73	95
5. Footwear	137	246	82	94	184
6. Furniture	26	16	32	123	89
7. Paper	366 (-)	165	472	892	837
8. Printing	75	68	87	66	49
9. Leather	117	195	96	259	519
10. Chemical	39	48	63	73	98
11. Petroleum	.13	10	13	.17	.25
12. Non- metallic	113	102	178	749	197
13. Basic metal	29	18	121	38	122
14. Metal products	65	52	364	28 (-)	3
15. Machinery except electrical	112	69	230	240	224
16. Electrical machinery	61	89	186	139	127
17. Transport equipment	138 (-)	15	549	578	552
18. Other manufacturing	40	27	31	27	12
19. Total man- ufacturing	61	54	85	81	101

	1279/80	1910/81	1981/82
1. Food manu- facturing	102	141	139
2. Beverage	134	166	120
3. Tobacco	87	91	88
4. Textiles	65	80	50
5. Footwear	214	442	144
6. Furniture	256	356	322
7. Paper	982	383	545
8. Printing	60	44	37
9. Leather	379	428	296
10. Chemical	138	140	147
11. Petroleum	12 (-)	.97(-)	1
12. Non-metallic	262	228	177
13. Basic metal	48	54	79
14. Metal products	23	23	82
15. Machinery except electrical	331	583	366
16. Electrical machinery	93	101	105
17. Transport equipment	227	490	243
18. Other manufacturing	36	46	54
19. Total manufacturing	94	79	77

Source : Calculated from the data reported in Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh, various Issues*.

The largest decline in the non-wage value-added per employee was in the petroleum sector which is difficult to explain. One possible explanation is that this extreme result may be due to limitations of the data used for the analysis. In food, beverage, footwear, furniture,

paper, leather, chemical, non-metallic, non-electrical machinery and transport equipment industries there was an upward trend in the non-wage value-added per employee. It is likely that in these industries there was an increase in capital intensity.

It should be quite relevant to examine the data on unit fixed assets of the manufacturing industries. They are presented in Table 13. Although the value of fixed assets of a sector can be used as an indicator of sectoral capital intensity, there are a number of analytical problems which greatly undermine its usefulness.²⁰ However, given these limitations it may be used to indicate rough orders of magnitude. It can be seen from Table 13 that at an aggregate level the index of unit fixed assets declined from 100 in 1973/74 to 87 in 1981/82. It appears to indicate that direct capital intensity as measured by the value of unit fixed assets declined. There is a difficulty in interpreting this result. A number of analytical questions with regard to under-utilisation of capacity and appropriate pricing of capital goods are also involved.²¹

Detailed sectoral figures on unit fixed assets can be examined now. It can be seen that the index for the textiles sector declined from 100 in 1973/74 to 97 in 1981/82. This may be interpreted to imply that the value of unit fixed assets remained roughly the same in the most important industrial sector of Bangladesh economy. In food, furniture, chemical, non-metallic, basic metal and transport equipment industries values of unit fixed assets declined very sharply. On the other hand in beverage, footwear, paper, printing, leather,

Table 13

Indices of unit fixed assets by major industrial groups (Base : 1973/74=100)

Sector	1974/75	1975/76	1976/77	1977/78	1978/79
1. Food manufacturing	65	51	70	69	76
2. Beverage	72	59	78	59	50
3. Tobacco	96	77	61	87	90
4. Textile	108	75	66	64	75
5. Footwear	73	48	39	36	38
6. Furniture	133	177	96	161	105
7. Paper	68	125	220	78	70
8. Printing	91	53	62	86	120
9. Leather	81	30	46	42	77
10. Chemical	130	74	128	79	76
11. petroleum	624	278	97	167	147
12. Non-metallic	134	98	55	56	46
13. Basic metal	93	95	35	48	30
14. Metal products	130	94	56	240	276
15. Machinery except electrical	77	156	105	141	126
16. Electrical machinery	125	148	135	153	122
17. Transport equipment	74	146	53	46	47
18. Other manufacturing	54	49	59	105	164
19. Total manufacturing	97	76	70	65	66

	1979/80	1980/81	1981/82
1. Food manufacturing	72	75	61
2. Beverage	52	72	165
3. Tobacco	95	107	97
4. Textiles	65	83	97
5. Footwear	374	214	143
6. Furniture	121	47	43
7. Paper	158	164	139
8. Printing	112	121	159
9. Leather	115	122	128
10. Chemical	62	57	51
11. Petroleum	191	230	228
12. Non-metallic	40	41	45
13. Basic-metal	32	28	22
14. Metal products	245	301	421
15. Machinery except electrical	57	580	606
16. Electrical machinery	509	702	629
17. Transport equipment	30	22	33
18. Other manufacturing	174	121	94
19. Total manufacturing	70	88	87

Source : Calculated from the data reported in Bangladesh Bureau Statistics, *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh*, various issues.

petroleum, metal products, non electrical machinery and electrical machinery the indices of unit fixed asset have increased substantially. It is possible that these manufacturing industries have become more capital-intensive in the process of production.

Section 3 : Summary and Conclusion

In this paper an attempt is made to identify some of the basic changes in the industrial structure of Bangladesh. A number of interrelated macro-economic variables are analysed. Although data are examined at an aggregate level importance is not attached to the results of an aggregate analysis. For formulation appropriate industrial policies the detailed sectoral results are more important than the aggregate. It is found that a great deal of sectoral differences exist within the manufacturing industries. In many industries employment growth is lagging behind output growth. There is a considerable amount of capital bias within the manufacturing industries. A general decline in labour productivity of different sectors of the industrial economy is also observed.

Most available evidence indicates that the industrial economy of Bangladesh did not perform well in the recent past. The nationalised industries were running at heavy losses because of poor management, negligence profitability consideration and poor labour-management relations etc. The level of capacity utilisation in most of the industries fell below the levels achieved before independence. This was partly due to shortages of raw materials, spares and components mostly imported, and partly due to low levels of export demand. This is a paradoxical situation because on the one hand under-developed countries suffer from scarcity of capital and on the other hand available capital is not fully utilised. It is reasonable to expect that an increased utilisation of capital will lead to higher rates of growth in income

and employment. Thus the overall picture of Bangladesh manufacturing is that this sector is not able to generate sufficient amount of employment and suffers from gross inefficiencies and underutilisation of existing capacity.

Appendix

In this paper the following variables are included :

- (1) Gross value of output : This refers to the value of output expressed in 1973-74 constant prices. In fact the sectoral value of output in current prices are deflated by the respective sectoral price index.
- (2) Value-added : The sectoral value-added in current prices is deflated by the respective sectoral price index. The appropriate method of deflating the value-added is a controversial subject but here only a simple procedure is adopted.
- (3) Total number of employees : This refers to the average daily employment. Ideally figures on at least man year of employment should be used but such data are not available.
- (4) Volume of fixed assets : First the book values of fixed assets expressed in current prices are taken. Ideally figures on replacement costs of capital should be used but available data do not allow one to generate such figures. Therefore, the book value of fixed assets is accepted as rough indicator of capital. To express in constant prices of 1973-74 these figures are deflated by the general price index of the capital goods reported in the *Statistical*

Yearbook of Bangladesh.

- (5) Industrial cost : This refers to all kinds of material costs incurred in the process of production and also includes the cost of fuel and electricity used for manufacturing purposes. But there is no detailed price indices for the industrial materials. To arrive at figures in constant prices the value of industrial cost is deflated by the price index of electricity. Admittedly this procedure introduces an element of arbitrariness.
- (6) Total employment cost : This refers to the total employment cost in current prices deflated by the wage index.
- (7) Output per head : This refers to total value of output at constant prices divided by the total number of employees.
- (8) Unit fixed assets : This is measured as the total value of fixed assets at constant prices divided by the total value of output at constant prices.
- (9) Unit industrial cost : This is measured as the total value of industrial cost divided by the total value of output both measured at constant prices.
- (10) Unit labour costs : This variable is measured as the ratio of total employment costs at constant prices to the total value of output at constant prices.
- (11) Average earning or wage-value added per employee : This is derived as the total employment cost at constant prices divided by the total number of employees.
- (12) Non-wage value-added per employee : This is

derived by subtracting wage-value added per employee from the value added per employee.

Notes

1. See in particular the following works; Chenery, H. B. (1960), 'Patterns of Industrial Growth', *American Economic Review*, Vol. 50, September; Chenery, H. B. (1979), *Structural Change and Development Policy*, Oxford University Press.
2. Ahmad, J. (1968), 'Import Substitution and Structural Change in Indian Manufacturing Industry 1950-1966', *Journal of Development Studies*, Vol. 4, No. 3, April.
3. Lewis, S. R. Jr., and Soligo, R. (1965), 'Growth and Structural Change in Pakistan's Manufacturing Industry', *Pakistan Development Review*, Vol. 5, No. 1, Spring.
4. Lewis, S. R. Jr., (1969), *Economic Policy and Industrial Growth in Pakistan*, George Allen & Unwin Ltd., London.
5. Guisinger, S. E. (1976), 'Patterns of Industrial Growth in Pakistan', *Pakistan Development Review*, Vol. 15, No. 1, Spring.
6. Lewis, S. R. Jr.; and Soligo, R., *op. cit.*
7. Salter, W. E. G. (1961), *Productivity and Technical Change*, Cambridge University Press.
8. Katz, J. M. (1969), *Productions, Functions, Foreign Investment and Growth*, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam.
9. There are a large number of works which compare the rates of growth among many countries. But a handy reading may be Lee, E. (ed.) (1981), *Export-led Industrialisation and Development*, International Labour Organisation, Geneva.
10. This is partly because of the definition of output and value-added in the petroleum sector. Bangladesh imports crude oil from overseas. This is processed into kerosene, further into diesel, still further into petrol and jet fuel. In the domestic market the demand for diesel is very

high, because this is widely used in Public transport and irrigation. In the recent period a large amount of diesel is also imported from other countries. 'If petrol is considered as the final output of the petroleum industry the amount of value-added may not be that high in this sector. It should, however, be emphasised here that the matter cannot be resolved in the absence of detailed statistics. The complex structure of the petroleum industry has been explained by a fellow colleague, Mr. Masud Kamal Khan, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Sydney, who worked for some time in one of the petro-chemical corporations of Bangladesh.

11. The nature and magnitude of unemployment and underemployment in Bangladesh economy are debated. The interested reader may consult the following. Ahmed, Ifthikar (1974), *Employment in Bangladesh*. in Robinson, E. A. G. and Griffin, K. (ed.), *The Economic Development of Bangladesh*, The Macmillan press Ltd, London.
12. A large volume of literature in this subject is available. The two most important works are by Little, Scitovsky and Scott, and another by Bhagwati and Krueger. See the following, Little, I., Scitovsky, T. and Scott, M. (1970), *Industry and Trade in Some Developing Countries, A Comparative Study*, Oxford University Press, New York; Bhagwati, J. and Krueger, A. (1978), *Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development*, for National Bureau of Economic Research, Ballinger Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts. See also, Krueger, A. O., Lary, H. B., Monson, T. and Akrasanee, N. (1981) (eds.), *Trade and Employment in Developing Countries*, Vol I. *Individual Studies*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
13. Islam, R. (1977). 'Labor Absorption in Bangladesh Manufacturing: Performance and Potential', *Political Economy, Journal of the Bangladesh Economic Association*, Vol. 3, No. 1, Conference.

14. Chowdhury, M. A. (1977), 'Capital Formation and Employment Promotion: Some Observations', *Political Economy Journal of the Bangladesh Economic Association*, Vol. 3, No. I. Conference.
15. Lary, H. B. (1968). Imports of Manufactures from less Developed countries, Columbia University Press, New York, 1964.
16. Chowdhury, N. (1976), 'Real Wages in Nationalised Sector in Bangladesh', *Political Economy, Journal of the Bangladesh Economic Association*, Vol. 2, No. I, Conference.
17. For a detailed discussion on the method of construction of real wage rate indices see Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh 1982*, and also Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, *Monthly Statistical Bulletin of Bangladesh* some relevant issue of recent years.
18. The concept of productivity is not as simple as measured in this paper. But even in a detailed statistical study on some selected industries of Bangladesh for the sixties Ahmad and Anwaruzzaman found that in most of the industries productivity declined over time. See Ahmad, Q. K., and Anwaruzzaman, C. (1973). 'Productivity Trends in the Manufacturing Sector of Bangladesh: A Case Study of Selected Industries', *Bangladesh Economic Review*, Vol. I, No. 2, April.
19. For a detailed discussion this subject see Ahmad, A. K. Mona-war uddin, (1987), Factor Intensity. Trade policies and exports of Manufactures: A case study of Bangladesh, ph. D. Thesis at the University of Sydney.
20. The limitations of the book values of fixed assets as reported in the Census of Manufacturing Industries have been discussed in Chapter 3 of Ahmad, A. K. Mona-war uddin, op. cit.
21. There is a distinction between capital intensity of full capacity and that at underutilised capacity. On this see Islam R. (1978). 'Reasons for Idle Capital: The Case of Bangladesh Manufacturing', *Bangladesh Development Studies*, Vol.

6, No. I, Winter. On the question of appropriate pricing of capital goods there are enormous theoretical difficulties. See for example, Harcourt. G. C. (1972), *Some Cambridge Controversies in the Theory of Capital* Cambridge University Press, London. In the absence of detailed information reliance was made on the aggregate price indices of the capital goods sector reported in the various issues of the *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh*.

Bibliography

1. Ahmad, A. K. Monaw-War-Uddin, (1987), Factor Intensity, Trade Policies and Exports of Manufactures : A Case Study of Bangladesh, Ph. D. thesis submitted to University of Sydney.
2. Ahmad, J. (1968), Import Substitution and Structural Change in Indian Manufacturing Industry 1950-1966, Journal of Development Studies, Vol. 4, No. 3, April.
3. Ahmad, Q. K. and Anwaruzzaman, C., (1973), Productivity Trends in the Manufacturing Sector of Bangladesh : A Case study of Selected Industries, Bangladesh Economic Review, Vol. 1, No. 2, April.
4. Ahmed, I. (1974). Employment in Bangladesh, in Robinson, E. A. G. and Griffin, K. (eds.), The Economic Development of Bangladesh within Socialist Framework, The Macmillan Press Ltd., London.
5. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Monthly Statistical Bulletin of Bangladesh, Various Issues.
6. Bangladesh, Bureau of Statistics, Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh, Various Issues.
7. Bhagwati, J. and Krueger, A. (1978). Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development, Ballinger Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
8. Chenery, H. B. (1960), Patterns of Industrial Growth, American Economic Review, Vol. 50, September.
9. Chenery, H. B. (1979), Structural Change and Development

- Policy. Oxford University Press, London.
10. Chowdhury, M. A. (1977), Capital Formation and Employment Promotion; Some Observations, Political Economy, Journal of Bangladesh Economic Association Vol. 3, No. 1 Conference.
 11. Chowdhury, N. (1976), Real Wages in Nationalised Sector in Bangladesh, Political Economy, Journal of Bangladesh Economic Association, Vol. 2, No. 1, Conference.
 12. Guisinger, S. E. (1976), Patterns of Industrial Growth in Pakistan, Pakistan Development Review, Vol. 15, No. 1. Spring.
 13. Harcourt, G. C. (1972), Some Cambridge Controversies in the Theory of Capital, Cambridge University Press, London.
 14. Islam, R. (1976), Labour Absorption in Bangladesh Manufacturing: Performance and Potential, Political Economy, Journal of Bangladesh Economic Association, Vol. 3, No. 1. Conference.
 15. Islam, R. (1978), Reasons for Idle Capital; The Case of Bangladesh Manufacturing, Bangladesh Development Studies. Vol. 6. No. 1. Winter.
 16. Katz, J. M. (1969), Production Functions, Foreign Investment and Growth, North-Holland publishing Company, Amsterdam.
 17. Krueger, A. O., Lary H. B., Monson, T. and Akrasance, N. (1981) (eds.), Trade and Employment in Developing Countries, Vol. 1, Individual Studies, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
 18. Lary, H. B. (1968), Imports of Manufactures from Less Developed Countries. Columbia University Press, New York.
 19. Lee, E. (ed.) (1981), Export-Led Industrialisation and Development, International Labour Organisation, Geneva.
 20. Lewis Jr., S. R. (1969), Economic Policy and Industrial Growth in Pakistan, George Allen & Unwin Ltd., London.
 21. Lewis Jr., S. R. and Soligo, R. (1965), Growth and Structural Change in Pakistan's Manufacturing Industry, Pakistan

Development Review. Vol. 5, No. 1, Spring.

22. Little, I., Scitovsky, T., and Scott, M. (1970), *Industry and Trade in Some Developing Countries, A Comparative Study*, Oxford University Press, New York.
23. Salter, W. E. G. (1961), *Productivity and Technical Change*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.